| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/734,293 | DE ROOIJ ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY | 1795 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>n/a</u> | |
| (1) MIRIAM BERDICHEVSKY. | (3) | |
| (2) William Powell. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 27 August 2008 | Time: <u>4:30pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: Claim 1 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Ropp et al. (US 6429546) | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Clarification of the issues discussed during the previous interview. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 /M. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795 (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |